

## University of Groningen

### Network games and strategic play

Govaert, Alain

DOI:  
[10.33612/diss.117367639](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.117367639)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Govaert, A. (2020). *Network games and strategic play: social influence, cooperation and exerting control*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.  
<https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.117367639>

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

---

## Bibliography

- [1] P. A. Van Lange, J. Joireman, C. D. Parks, and E. Van Dijk, “The psychology of social dilemmas: A review,” *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, vol. 120, no. 2, pp. 125–141, 2013.
- [2] G. Hardin, “The tragedy of the commons,” *Science*, vol. 162, no. 3859, pp. 1243–1248, 1968.
- [3] R. Hardin, “Collective action as an agreeable n-prisoners’ dilemma,” *Behavioral Science*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 472–481, 1971.
- [4] W. F. Lloyd, *Two Lectures on the Checks to Population: Delivered Before the University of Oxford, in Michaelmas Term 1832*. JH Parker, 1833.
- [5] J.-F. Bonnefon, A. Shariff, and I. Rahwan, “The social dilemma of autonomous vehicles,” *Science*, vol. 352, no. 6293, pp. 1573–1576, 2016.
- [6] E. Ostrom, *Governing the commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [7] J. Von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press, 1944.
- [8] M. A. Nowak, “Five rules for the evolution of cooperation,” *Science*, vol. 314, no. 5805, pp. 1560–1563, 2006.
- [9] J. Nash, “Non-cooperative games,” *Annals of Mathematics*, pp. 286–295, 1951.
- [10] J. F. Nash *et al.*, “Equilibrium points in n-person games,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 48–49, 1950.
- [11] T. Yamagishi, “Exit from the group as an individualistic solution to the free rider problem in the united states and japan,” *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 530–542, 1988.

- [12] H. Brandt, C. Hauert, and K. Sigmund, "Punishment and reputation in spatial public goods games," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 270, no. 1519, pp. 1099–1104, 2003.
- [13] E. Fehr and S. Gächter, "Altruistic punishment in humans," *Nature*, vol. 415, no. 6868, pp. 137–140, 2002.
- [14] D. Balliet, L. B. Mulder, and P. A. Van Lange, "Reward, punishment, and cooperation: a meta-analysis," *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 137, no. 4, pp. 594–615, 2011.
- [15] H. Ohtsuki and Y. Iwasa, "How should we define goodness?—reputation dynamics in indirect reciprocity," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 231, no. 1, pp. 107–120, 2004.
- [16] H. Brandt and K. Sigmund, "The logic of reprobation: assessment and action rules for indirect reciprocation," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 231, no. 4, pp. 475–486, 2004.
- [17] R. Alexander, *The Biology of Moral Systems*. Taylor & Francis Inc, 2017.
- [18] M. A. Nowak and K. Sigmund, "Evolution of indirect reciprocity by image scoring," *Nature*, vol. 393, no. 6685, pp. 573–577, 1998.
- [19] C. Wedekind and M. Milinski, "Cooperation through image scoring in humans," *Science*, vol. 288, no. 5467, pp. 850–852, 2000.
- [20] D. G. Rand and M. A. Nowak, "Human cooperation," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 413–425, 2013.
- [21] W. D. Hamilton, "The genetical evolution of social behaviour. ii," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 17–52, 1964.
- [22] K. R. Foster, T. Wenseleers, and F. L. Ratnieks, "Kin selection is the key to altruism," *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 57–60, 2006.
- [23] S. A. Frank, *Foundations of social evolution*. Princeton University Press, 1998.
- [24] R. Dawkins, *28. The Selfish Gene*. Oxford University Press, 1976.
- [25] E. O. Wilson, *Sociobiology*. Harvard University Press, 2000.
- [26] A. Traulsen and M. A. Nowak, "Evolution of cooperation by multilevel selection," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 103, no. 29, pp. 10 952–10 955, 2006.

- [27] D. S. Wilson, "A theory of group selection," *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 143–146, 1975.
- [28] P. B. Rainey and K. Rainey, "Evolution of cooperation and conflict in experimental bacterial populations," *Nature*, vol. 425, pp. 72–74, 2003.
- [29] J. M. Smith, *Evolution and the Theory of Games*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [30] M. A. Nowak and K. Sigmund, "Evolutionary dynamics of biological games," *Science*, vol. 303, no. 5659, pp. 793–799, 2004.
- [31] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, "Evolutionary game dynamics," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 479–519, 2003.
- [32] J. W. Weibull, *Evolutionary game theory*. MIT press, 1997.
- [33] R. Cressman, C. Ansell, and K. Binmore, *Evolutionary dynamics and extensive form games*. MIT Press, 2003, vol. 5.
- [34] E. Lieberman, C. Hauert, and M. A. Nowak, "Evolutionary dynamics on graphs," *Nature*, vol. 433, pp. 312–316, 2005.
- [35] R. M. May, "Network structure and the biology of populations," *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 394–399, 2006.
- [36] M. P. Hassell, H. N. Comins, and R. M. May, "Species coexistence and self-organizing spatial dynamics," *Nature*, vol. 370, pp. 290–292, 1994.
- [37] G. Szabó and G. Fath, "Evolutionary games on graphs," *Physics Reports*, vol. 446, no. 4-6, pp. 97–216, 2007.
- [38] B. Allen and M. A. Nowak, "Games on graphs," *EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 113–151, 2014.
- [39] M. Nowak and R. Highfield, *Supercooperators: Altruism, Evolution, and Why We Need Each Other to Succeed*. Simon and Schuster, 2011.
- [40] H. Ohtsuki, C. Hauert, E. Lieberman, and M. A. Nowak, "A simple rule for the evolution of cooperation on graphs and social networks," *Nature*, vol. 441, pp. 502–505, 2006.
- [41] H. A. Simon, *Models of Bounded Rationality: Empirically Grounded Economic Reason*. MIT Press, 1997, vol. 3.

- [42] D. Monderer and L. S. Shapley, "Potential games," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 124–143, 1996.
- [43] P. Dubey, O. Haimanko, and A. Zapechelnyuk, "Strategic complements and substitutes, and potential games," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 77–94, 2006.
- [44] M. Voorneveld, "Best-response potential games," *Economics Letters*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 289–295, 2000.
- [45] H. P. Young, *Individual Strategy and Social Structure: An Evolutionary Theory of Institutions*. Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [46] J. R. Marden and J. S. Shamma, "Revisiting log-linear learning: Asynchrony, completeness and payoff-based implementation," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 788–808, 2012.
- [47] S. Grammatico, "Proximal dynamics in multi-agent network games," *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems*, vol. 5, pp. 1707–1716, 2018.
- [48] L. Pavel, *Game theory for control of Optical Networks*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [49] P. Yi and L. Pavel, "An operator splitting approach for distributed generalized nash equilibria computation," *Automatica*, vol. 102, pp. 111–121, 2019.
- [50] D. Helbing, M. Schönhof, H.-U. Stark, and J. A. Holyst, "How individuals learn to take turns: Emergence of alternating cooperation in a congestion game and the prisoner's dilemma," *Advances in Complex Systems*, vol. 8, no. 01, pp. 87–116, 2005.
- [51] M. M. Flood, "Some experimental games," *Management Science*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 5–26, 1958.
- [52] R. L. Trivers, "The evolution of reciprocal altruism," *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 35–57, 1971.
- [53] D. Fudenberg and J. Tirole, *Game theory, 1991*. MIT Press, 1991.
- [54] D. M. Kreps, P. Milgrom, J. Roberts, and R. Wilson, "Rational cooperation in the finitely repeated prisoners' dilemma," *Journal of Economic Theory*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 245–252, 1982.
- [55] J. W. Friedman, "A non-cooperative equilibrium for supergames," *The Review of Economic Studies*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 1971.

- [56] C. Hilbe, K. Chatterjee, and M. A. Nowak, “Partners and rivals in direct reciprocity,” *Nature Human Behaviour*, pp. 469–477, 2018.
- [57] R. Selten and P. Hammerstein, “Gaps in harley’s argument on evolutionarily stable learning rules and in the logic of ‘tit for tat?’” *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 115–116, 1984.
- [58] R. Boyd and J. P. Lorberbaum, “No pure strategy is evolutionarily stable in the repeated prisoner’s dilemma game,” *Nature*, vol. 327, pp. 58–59, 1987.
- [59] R. Axelrod and W. D. Hamilton, “The evolution of cooperation,” *Science*, vol. 211, no. 4489, pp. 1390–1396, 1981.
- [60] M. Nowak and K. Sigmund, “A strategy of win-stay, lose-shift that outperforms tit-for-tat in the prisoner’s dilemma game,” *Nature*, vol. 364, pp. 56–58, 1993.
- [61] P. Molander, “The optimal level of generosity in a selfish, uncertain environment,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 611–618, 1985.
- [62] C. Hilbe, L. A. Martinez-Vaquero, K. Chatterjee, and M. A. Nowak, “Memory-n strategies of direct reciprocity,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 114, no. 18, pp. 4715–4720, 2017.
- [63] P. Duersch, J. Oechssler, and B. C. Schipper, “When is tit-for-tat unbeatable?” *International Journal of Game Theory*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 25–36, 2014.
- [64] W. H. Press and F. J. Dyson, “Iterated prisoner’s dilemma contains strategies that dominate any evolutionary opponent,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 109, no. 26, pp. 10 409–10 413, 2012.
- [65] P. Yi and L. Pavel, “A distributed primal-dual algorithm for computation of generalized nash equilibria via operator splitting methods,” in *2017 IEEE 56th Annual Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 3841–3846.
- [66] L. E. Blume, “The statistical mechanics of strategic interaction,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 387–424, 1993.
- [67] G. Ellison, “Learning, local interaction, and coordination,” *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pp. 1047–1071, 1993.
- [68] M. A. Nowak and R. M. May, “The spatial dilemmas of evolution,” *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 3, no. 01, pp. 35–78, 1993.
- [69] H. P. Young, “The evolution of conventions,” *Econometrica*, pp. 57–84, 1993.

- [70] M. Friedman and M. Friedman, *Essays in Positive Economics*. University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- [71] L. Zino, G. Como, and F. Fagnani, "On imitation dynamics in potential population games," in *2017 IEEE 56th Annual Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 757–762.
- [72] J. Barreiro-Gomez and H. Tembine, "Constrained evolutionary games by using a mixture of imitation dynamics," *Automatica*, vol. 97, pp. 254–262, 2018.
- [73] A. Govaert, P. Ramazi, and M. Cao, "Convergence of imitation dynamics for public goods games on networks," in *2017 IEEE 56th Annual Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 4982–4987.
- [74] D. K. Levine and W. Pesendorfer, "The evolution of cooperation through imitation," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 293–315, 2007.
- [75] F. Vega-Redondo, "The evolution of Walrasian behavior," *Econometrica*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 375–384, 1997.
- [76] A. A. Alchian, "Uncertainty, evolution, and economic theory," *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 211–221, 1950.
- [77] D. D. Davis, "Advance production and Cournot outcomes: an experimental investigation," *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 59–79, 1999.
- [78] P. van den Berg, L. Molleman, and F. J. Weissing, "Focus on the success of others leads to selfish behavior," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 112, no. 9, pp. 2912–2917, 2015.
- [79] G. Ellison and D. Fudenberg, "Word-of-mouth communication and social learning," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 93–125, 1995.
- [80] J. Webster and L. K. Trevino, "Rational and social theories as complementary explanations of communication media choices: Two policy-capturing studies," *Academy of Management journal*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 1544–1572, 1995.
- [81] L. G. Tornatzky and K. J. Klein, "Innovation characteristics and innovation adoption-implementation: A meta-analysis of findings," *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, no. 1, pp. 28–45, 1982.
- [82] V. Venkatesh and F. D. Davis, "A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies," *Management science*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 186–204, 2000.

- [83] L. Molleman, P. Van den Berg, and F. J. Weissing, "Consistent individual differences in human social learning strategies," *Nature Communications*, vol. 5, p. 3570, 2014.
- [84] A. Bandura and R. H. Walters, *Social learning theory*. Prentice-hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1977, vol. 1.
- [85] A. Masini and E. Menichetti, "Investment decisions in the renewable energy sector: An analysis of non-financial drivers," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 510–524, 2013.
- [86] M. H. DeGroot, "Reaching a consensus," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 69, no. 345, pp. 118–121, 1974.
- [87] N. E. Friedkin and E. C. Johnsen, "Social influence and opinions," *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, vol. 15, no. 3-4, pp. 193–206, 1990.
- [88] G. Debreu, "A social equilibrium existence theorem," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 886–893, 1952.
- [89] F. Facchinei and C. Kanzow, "Generalized Nash equilibrium problems," *4or*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 173–210, 2007.
- [90] C. Shapiro and H. R. Varian, *Information Rules: a Strategic Guide to the Network Economy*. Harvard Business Press, 1998.
- [91] F. M. Bass, "A new product growth for model consumer durables," *Management Science*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 215–227, 1969.
- [92] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, "Emergence of scaling in random networks," *Science*, vol. 286, no. 5439, pp. 509–512, 1999.
- [93] A. Ordanini, G. Rubera, and R. DeFillippi, "The many moods of inter-organizational imitation: A critical review," *International Journal of Management Reviews*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 375–398, 2008.
- [94] R. Reed and R. J. DeFillippi, "Causal ambiguity, barriers to imitation, and sustainable competitive advantage," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 88–102, 1990.
- [95] R. Cappelli, D. Czarnitzki, and K. Kraft, "Sources of spillovers for imitation and innovation," *Research Policy*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 115–120, 2014.



- [96] F. C. Santos, M. D. Santos, and J. M. Pacheco, "Social diversity promotes the emergence of cooperation in public goods games," *Nature*, vol. 454, no. 7201, pp. 213–216, 2008.
- [97] P. Ramazi, "Convergence analysis and control of evolutionary matrix-game dynamics," dissertation, University of Groningen, 2017.
- [98] J. Riehl, P. Ramazi, and M. Cao, "A survey on the analysis and control of evolutionary matrix games," *Annual Reviews in Control*, vol. 45, pp. 87–106, 2018.
- [99] J. Gomez-Gardenes, M. Romance, R. Criado, D. Vilone, and A. Sánchez, "Evolutionary games defined at the network mesoscale: The public goods game," *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 016113, 2011.
- [100] J. R. Riehl and M. Cao, "Towards optimal control of evolutionary games on networks," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 458–462, 2017.
- [101] K. H. Schlag, "Why imitate, and if so, how?: A boundedly rational approach to multi-armed bandits," *Journal of Economic Theory*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 130–156, 1998.
- [102] C. Hauert, S. De Monte, J. Hofbauer, and K. Sigmund, "Volunteering as red queen mechanism for cooperation in public goods games," *Science*, vol. 296, no. 5570, pp. 1129–1132, 2002.
- [103] C. S. Gokhale and A. Traulsen, "Evolutionary games in the multiverse," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 107, no. 12, pp. 5500–5504, 2010.
- [104] M. Bergen and M. A. Peteraf, "Competitor identification and competitor analysis: a broad-based managerial approach," *Managerial and Decision Economics*, vol. 23, no. 4-5, pp. 157–169, 2002.
- [105] E. J. Zajac and M. H. Bazerman, "Blind spots in industry and competitor analysis: Implications of interfirm (mis) perceptions for strategic decisions," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 37–56, 1991.
- [106] G. Facchini, F. Van Megen, P. Borm, and S. Tijs, "Congestion models and weighted bayesian potential games," *Theory and Decision*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 193–206, 1997.

- [107] A. Fabrikant, C. Papadimitriou, and K. Talwar, “The complexity of pure nash equilibria,” in *Proceedings of the thirty-sixth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*. ACM, 2004, pp. 604–612.
- [108] M. Bagnoli and M. McKee, “Voluntary contribution games: Efficient private provision of public goods,” *Economic Inquiry*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 351–366, 1991.
- [109] M. van Veelen and M. A. Nowak, “Multi-player games on the cycle,” *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 292, pp. 116–128, 2012.
- [110] A. Szolnoki and M. Perc, “Impact of critical mass on the evolution of cooperation in spatial public goods games,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 81, p. 057101, May 2010.
- [111] H. Hamburger, M. Guyer, and J. Fox, “Group size and cooperation,” *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 503–531, 1975.
- [112] M. A. Nowak and R. M. May, “Evolutionary games and spatial chaos,” *Nature*, vol. 359, pp. 826–829, 1992.
- [113] K.-K. Kleineberg and D. Helbing, “Topological enslavement in evolutionary games on correlated multiplex networks,” *New Journal of Physics*, vol. 20, no. 5, p. 053030, 2018.
- [114] A. J. Stewart, T. L. Parsons, and J. B. Plotkin, “Evolutionary consequences of behavioral diversity,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 113, no. 45, pp. E7003–E7009, 2016.
- [115] A. McAvoy and C. Hauert, “Autocratic strategies for alternating games,” *Theoretical population biology*, vol. 113, pp. 13–22, 2017.
- [116] —, “Autocratic strategies for iterated games with arbitrary action spaces,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 113, no. 13, pp. 3573–3578, 2016.
- [117] L. Pan, D. Hao, Z. Rong, and T. Zhou, “Zero-determinant strategies in iterated public goods game,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, p. 13096, 2015.
- [118] C. Hilbe, B. Wu, A. Traulsen, and M. A. Nowak, “Cooperation and control in multiplayer social dilemmas,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 46, pp. 16 425–16 430, 2014.
- [119] B. Kerr, P. Godfrey-Smith, and M. W. Feldman, “What is altruism?” *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 135–140, 2004.

- [120] A. Diekmann, "Volunteer's dilemma," *Journal of conflict resolution*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 605–610, 1985.
- [121] C. Hilbe, A. Traulsen, and K. Sigmund, "Partners or rivals? strategies for the iterated prisoner's dilemma," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 92, pp. 41–52, 2015.
- [122] G. Ichinose and N. Masuda, "Zero-determinant strategies in finitely repeated games," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 438, pp. 61–77, 2018.
- [123] E. Akin, "The iterated prisoner's dilemma: good strategies and their dynamics," *Ergodic Theory, Advances in Dynamical Systems*, pp. 77–107, 2016.
- [124] M. Van Veelen, "Group selection, kin selection, altruism and cooperation: when inclusive fitness is right and when it can be wrong," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 259, no. 3, pp. 589–600, 2009.
- [125] U. Fischbacher, S. Gächter, and E. Fehr, "Are people conditionally cooperative? Evidence from a public goods experiment," *Economics Letters*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 397–404, 2001.
- [126] E. Fehr and U. Fischbacher, "The nature of human altruism," *Nature*, vol. 425, pp. 785–791, 2003.
- [127] D.-F. Zheng, H. Yin, C.-H. Chan, and P. Hui, "Cooperative behavior in a model of evolutionary snowdrift games with n-person interactions," *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, vol. 80, no. 1, 2007.
- [128] H. Liang, M. Cao, and X. Wang, "Analysis and shifting of stochastically stable equilibria for evolutionary snowdrift games," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 85, pp. 16–22, 2015.
- [129] M. O. Souza, J. M. Pacheco, and F. C. Santos, "Evolution of cooperation under n-person snowdrift games," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 260, no. 4, pp. 581–588, 2009.
- [130] C. Hilbe, M. A. Nowak, and K. Sigmund, "Evolution of extortion in iterated prisoner's dilemma games," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, p. 201214834, 2013.
- [131] L. A. Imhof and M. A. Nowak, "Stochastic evolutionary dynamics of direct reciprocity," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 277, no. 1680, pp. 463–468, 2009.

- [132] M. A. Nowak, A. Sasaki, C. Taylor, and D. Fudenberg, "Emergence of cooperation and evolutionary stability in finite populations," *Nature*, vol. 428, pp. 646–650, 2004.
- [133] A. J. Stewart and J. B. Plotkin, "From extortion to generosity, evolution in the iterated prisoner's dilemma," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, pp. 15 348–15 353, 2013.
- [134] A. Traulsen, M. A. Nowak, and J. M. Pacheco, "Stochastic dynamics of invasion and fixation," *Physical Review E*, vol. 74, no. 1, p. 011909, 2006.
- [135] C. Hilbe, B. Wu, A. Traulsen, and M. A. Nowak, "Evolutionary performance of zero-determinant strategies in multiplayer games," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 374, pp. 115–124, 2015.
- [136] M. E. Schaffer, "Evolutionarily stable strategies for a finite population and a variable contest size," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 132, no. 4, pp. 469–478, 1988.
- [137] M. Shubik and R. Levitan, *Market Structure and Behavior*. Harvard University Press, 1980.
- [138] P. D. Sozou, "On hyperbolic discounting and uncertain hazard rates," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 265, no. 1409, pp. 2015–2020, 1998.
- [139] L. Green and J. Myerson, "A discounting framework for choice with delayed and probabilistic rewards," *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 130, no. 5, pp. 769–792, 2004.
- [140] R. L. Keeney and H. Raiffa, *Decisions with Multiple Objectives: Preferences and Value Trade-offs*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [141] H. Rachlin, A. Raineri, and D. Cross, "Subjective probability and delay," *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 233–244, 1991.
- [142] P. Ostaszewski, L. Green, and J. Myerson, "Effects of inflation on the subjective value of delayed and probabilistic rewards," *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 324–333, 1998.
- [143] L. Green, A. F. Fry, and J. Myerson, "Discounting of delayed rewards: A life-span comparison," *Psychological Science*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 33–36, 1994.
- [144] J. Andreoni, W. T. Harbaugh, and L. Vesterlund, "Altruism in experiments," *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics: Volume 1–8*, pp. 134–138, 2008.

- [145] A. Dreber, D. Fudenberg, and D. G. Rand, "Who cooperates in repeated games: The role of altruism, inequity aversion, and demographics," *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, vol. 98, pp. 41–55, 2014.
- [146] C. Hilbe, T. Röhl, and M. Milinski, "Extortion subdues human players but is finally punished in the prisoner's dilemma," *Nature Communications*, vol. 5, p. 3976, 2014.
- [147] A. W. Delton, M. M. Krasnow, L. Cosmides, and J. Tooby, "Evolution of direct reciprocity under uncertainty can explain human generosity in one-shot encounters," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 108, no. 32, pp. 13 335–13 340, 2011.
- [148] R. M. Dawes and R. H. Thaler, "Anomalies: cooperation," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 187–197, 1988.
- [149] E. Fehr, U. Fischbacher, and S. Gächter, "Strong reciprocity, human cooperation, and the enforcement of social norms," *Human Nature*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–25, 2002.
- [150] M. L. Weitzman, "Gamma discounting," *American Economic Review*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 260–271, 2001.
- [151] D. J. MacKay and D. J. Mac Kay, *Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [152] A. Govaert and M. Cao, "Zero-determinant strategies in finitely repeated n-player games," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 150–155, 2019.
- [153] J. K. Murnighan and A. E. Roth, "Expecting continued play in prisoner's dilemma games: A test of several models," *Journal of conflict resolution*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 279–300, 1983.
- [154] R. S. Bielefeld, "Reexamination of the perfectness concept for equilibrium points in extensive games," in *Models of Strategic Rationality*. Springer, 1988, pp. 1–31.
- [155] M. A. Nowak, S. Bonhoeffer, and R. M. May, "More spatial games," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 4, no. 01, pp. 33–56, 1994.
- [156] L. Boosey, P. Brookins, and D. Ryvkin, "Contests with group size uncertainty: Experimental evidence," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 105, pp. 212–229, 2017.

- 
- [157] W. Mill and M. M. Theelen, “Social value orientation and group size uncertainty in public good dilemmas,” *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, vol. 81, pp. 19–38, 2019.
- [158] J. Bergin and W. B. MacLeod, “Continuous time repeated games,” *International Economic Review*, pp. 21–37, 1993.

